

## REMARKS

This Response to the final Office Action mailed December 1, 2005. Claims 1 to 55 were pending previously in the application. Of those claims, Claims 37 to 52 were withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1 to 7, 13 to 36 and 53 to 55 stand rejected. Claims 1 and 10 are objected to. Claims 8 to 12 have been allowed.

In this Response, Claims 1 to 7 and 13 to 55 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants expressly reserve the right to file those claims in one or more continuing applications. Applicants believe these claims to be patentable over the art of record and reserve the right to argue the patentability of same. Claim 10 has been amended herein to place the application in condition for allowance. No new matter has been introduced by way the amendment. It is believed that no fees are owed with this Response, however, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-1818 for any fees owed.

In the Office Action, the drawings were objected to for allegedly not showing an enclosure housing a pump, radiant heater or secondary heater (Claims 1, 13, 14, 18, 23, 27 and 30). The specification was objected to because it allegedly does not provide antecedent basis for certain claimed elements. Claims 1 and 10 were objected to for informalities. Claims 1, 13, 14, 23, 27 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36 and 53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Application 2003/0004470 to Hickerson ("*Hickerson*"). Claims 2, 4, 14, 15, 16, 17, 23, 24, 25 and 26 to 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Hickerson*, U.S. Patent No. 5,271,086 to Kamiyama et al. ("*Kamiyama*") and U.S. Patent No. 6,261,261 to Gordon ("*Gordon*"). Claims 18 to 20, 22 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Hickerson* and GB 2 242 367 to Muller ("*Muller*"). Claims 13 and 54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Hickerson* and *Gordon*.

Claims 1 to 7 and 13 to 55 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants incorporate by reference all previous arguments made with respect to those claims and maintain that they are patentable over the cited art. Applicants expressly reserve the right to file and argue the patentability of those claims in one or more continuing application.

Claim 10 has been amended slightly to overcome the objection. All other objections and rejections are rendered moot by the cancellation of Claims 1 to 7 and 13 to 55

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified patent application is now in condition for allowance and earnestly solicit reconsideration of same.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY 

Robert W. Connors

Reg. No. 46,639

Customer No. 29200

Dated: January 4, 2006